

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 1293.1273 9729 **EXAMINER** LE, KIMLIEN T

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/995,828 11/29/2001 Jin-gyo Seo 21171 7590 12/11/2003 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 2653

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/995,828	SEO, JIN-GYO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kimlien T Le	2653
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant the set of extended period for reply will, by significant to reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, man. In a reply within the statutory minimum of the control of the contro	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 August 2003.	•
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allocations of accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 18-26 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 18-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	drawn from consideration	
Application Papers	•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected	to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	= , ,	` ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of	nents have been received, nents have been received priority documents have bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Ilist of the certified copies nestic priority under 35 U.S e first sentence of the spece provisional application has nestic priority under 35 U.S	in Application No een received in this National Stage not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cification or in an Application Data Sheet. as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)	, .	(DTO 440) D
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.) 5) ☐ Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2653

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on June 24, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant asserts on page 6:

However, Nagara fails to teach or suggest that a mark is formed "using a multiple pulse train comprising a first pulse, a multi pulse having a peak power level, and a last pulse" and "controlling power levels of the first and last pulses with respect to the peak power level of the multi-pulse depending on a correlation between a mark and a space between successive marks." as recited in independent claim 1...

The Examiner maintains that Nagara et al. (U.S. Patent 6,407,976) discloses "using a multiple pulse train comprising a first pulse, a multi pulse having a peak power level, and a last pulse" and "controlling power levels of the first and last pulses with respect to the peak power level of the multi-pulse depending on a correlation between a mark and a space between successive marks," (Figs. 1 and 7; column 4,lines 1-15; column 3 lines 50-54).

Also, Applicant asserts on pages 6 and 7:

"setting the power level of the first pulse depending on the correlation between the mark and the space, setting the power level of the last pulse depending on the correlation between the mark and the space, and driving a recording unit by the multiple pulse train having the set power levels of the first and last pulses," as recited in independent claim 1

The Examiner maintains that Nagara et al. (U.S. Patent 6,407,976) discloses "setting the power level of the first pulse depending on the correlation between the mark and the space; setting the power level of the last pulse depending on the correlation between the mark and the space; and driving a recording unit by the multiple pulse train having the set power levels of the first and last pulses," (Figs. 1 and 7; column 4, lines 40-58).

Art Unit: 2653

Moreover, Applicant asserts on page 8:

However, Maeda is silent as to teaching or suggesting, "method of controlling recording a signal on an optical disc using multiple pulse trains comprising a first multi-pulse train having a first pulse, a multi-pulse having a reference power level, and a last pulse, the method comprising: controlling the power level of said last pulse independent of the power level of said first pulse," as recited in independent claim 18.

The Examiner maintains that Maedaet al. (U.S. Patent 6,160,784) discloses "method of controlling recording a signal on an optical disc using multiple pulse trains comprising a first multi-pulse train having a first pulse, a multi-pulse having a reference power level, and a last pulse, the method comprising: controlling the power level of said last pulse independent of the power level of said first pulse," (Fig. 1; column 5, lines 24-28).

Furthermore, Applicant asserts on page 8:

Maeda fails to teach or suggest, "providing a different reference power level to each multi-pulse train depending on the energy or density of a non-return-to-zero inverted (NRZI) signal detecting a correlation between a current mark and a space between successive marks," emphasis added, as recited in independent claim 24.

The Examiner maintains that Maedaet al. (U.S. Patent 6,160,784) discloses "providing a different reference power level to each multi-pulse train depending on the energy or density of a non-return-to-zero inverted (NRZI) signal detecting a correlation between a current mark and a space between successive marks," (Fig. 1; column 5,lines 24—50; Abstract).

Art Unit: 2653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nagara et al. (U.S. Patent 6,407,976).

Regarding claim 1, see Figs. 1-3 and 7 of Nagara et al which show an adaptive recording method used with an optical recording medium, the method comprising: forming a mark using a multiple pulse train comprising a first pulse a multi-pulse having a peak power level, and a last pulse controlling power levels of the first and last pulses with respect to the peak power level of the multi-pulse depending on a correlation between a mark and a pace between successive marks; setting the power level of the first pulse depending on the correlation between the mark and the space; setting the power level of the last pulse depending on the correlation between the mark and the space; and driving a recording unit by the multiple pulse train having the set power levels of the first and last pulses (column 1, lines 45-60; column 3, line30- column 4, line 53).

Regarding claim 2, see Figs. 1-3 and 7 of Nagara et al which show the method of claim 1, wherein the power level of the last pulse is set independent of the power level of the first pulse (column 3, line 30- column 4, line 53).

Art Unit: 2653

Regarding claim 3, see Figs. 1-3,5 and 7 of Nagara et al which show the method of claim 1, further comprising changing the power level of the multi-pulse depending on the energy of a non-return-to-zero inverted (NRZI) signal (column 9, lines 55-65).

Regarding claim 4, see Figs. 1-3 and 7 of Nagara et al which show the method of claim 1, wherein the recording unit is a laser diode (column 6, lines 62-68).

Regarding claim 5, see Figs. 1-3 and 7 of Nagara et al which show the method of claim 1, wherein the power level of the first pulse is set higher or lower than the reference power level (Fig. 7).

Regarding claim 6, see Figs. 1-3 and 7 of Nagara et al which show the method of claim 1, wherein the power level of the first pulse is set depending on a correlation between a current mark and a previous space (Figs. 1A-1C and 7).

Regarding claim 7, see Figs. 1-3 and 7 of Nagara et al which show the method of claim 6, wherein the power level of the first pulse is set depending on the correlation between the current mark and the previous space or the size of the current mark (Figs. 1A-1C and 7).

Regarding claim 8, see Figs. 1-3 and 7 of Nagara et al which show the method of claim 1, wherein the power level of the first pulse is set depending on the size of a current mark (Figs. 1A-1C and 7).

Regarding claim 9, see Figs. 1-3 and 7 of Nagara et al which show the method of claim 1, wherein the power level of the last pulse is set higher or lower than the reference power level (Figs. 1A-1C and 7).

Art Unit: 2653

Regarding claim 10, see Figs. 1-3 and 7 of Nagara et al which show the method of claim 1, wherein the power level of the last pulse is set depending on the correlation between the current mark and a next space (Figs. 1A-1C and 7).

Regarding claim 11, see Figs. 1-3 and 7 of Nagara et al which show the method of claim 9, wherein the power level of the last pulse is set depending on the correlation between the current mark and the next space or the size of the current mark (Figs. 1A-1C and 7).

Regarding claim 12, see Figs. 1-3 and 7 of Nagara et al which show the method of claim 1, wherein the power level of the last pulse is set depending on the size of the current mark (column 1, lines 45-60).

6. Claims 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maeda et al. (U.S Patent 6,160,784).

Regarding claim 18, see Figs. 1-4 of Maeda et al. which show a method of controlling recording a signal on an optical disc using multiple pulse trains comprising a first multi-pulse train having a first pulse, a multi-pulse having a reference power level, and a last pulse, the method comprising: controlling the power level of the last pulse independent of the power level of the first pulse (Fig1; column 4, line 42- column 6, line 35; Abstract).

Regarding claim 19, see Figs. 1-4 of Maeda et al. which show the method according to claim 18, wherein the power levels of the first and last pulse are controlled by selecting a peak power level Pw, a power Pwh higher than the peak(Fig1; column 4, line 42- column 6, line 35; Abstract).

Regarding claim 20, see Figs. 1-4 of Maeda et al. which show the method according to claim 19, wherein Pw is an optimum peak power level and Pw and Pwl, are generated by adding or

Art Unit: 2653

subtracting a predetermined value to or from the optimum peak power level Pw, respectively (Fig1; column 4, line 42- column 6, line 35; Abstract).

Regarding claim 21, see Figs. 1-4 of Maeda et al. which show the method according to claim 18, wherein the multi-pulse reference power level is greater than the first pulse power level and less than the last pulse power level (Fig1; column 4, line 42- column 6, line 35; Abstract).

Regarding claim 22, see Figs. 1-4 of Maeda et al. which show the method according to claim 19, wherein the multiple pulse trains further comprises a second multi-pulse train having a first pulse, a multi-pulse having a reference power level, and a last pulse, wherein the power level of the multi-pulse of the second multipulse train is less than the first pulse power level of the second multi-pulse train and greater than the last pulse power level of the second multi-pulse train (Fig1; column 4, line 42- column 6, line 35; Abstract).

Regarding claim 23, see Figs. 1-4 of Maeda et al. which show the method according to claim 22, wherein the multiple pulse trains further comprise a third multi-pulse train having a first pulse, a multi-pulse having a reference power level, and a last pulse, wherein the power level of the multi-pulse of the third multi-pulse train is equal to the first pulse power level of the third multi-pulse train and great than the last pulse power level of the third multi-pulse train (Fig1; column 4, line 42- column 6, line 35; Abstract).

Regarding claim 24, see Figs. 1-4 of Maeda et al. which show a method of controlling recording marks on an optical disc using multiple pulse trains comprising first, second and third multi-pulse trains each having a first pulse, a multi-pulse having a reference power level, and a last pulse, the method comprising: providing a different reference power level to each multi-pulse train depending on the energy or density of a non-return-to-zero inverted (NRZI) signal

Art Unit: 2653

detecting correlation between a current mark and a space between successive marks (column 4, line 42- column 6, line 35).

Regarding claim 25, see Figs. 1-4 of Maeda et al. which show a method according to claim 24, wherein the power level of the first and last pulse of each of the first, second and third multi-pulse trains is higher or lower than the reference power level (column 4, line 42- column 6, line 35; Abstract).

Regarding claim 26, see Figs. 1-4 of Maeda et al. which show a method according to claim 18, wherein the power level of the multi-pulse is controlled independent of the first and last pulses (Fig1; column 4, line 42- column 6, line 35; Abstract).

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 9

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimlien Le whose telephone number is 703 305 3498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8a.m-5p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on 703 305 6137. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9314 for regular communications and 703 872 9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305 3900.

Kimlien Le

WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600